



TITLE:

On CM-triviality (Generic structures and their applications)

AUTHOR(S):

Yoneda, Ikuo

CITATION:

Yoneda, Ikuo. On CM-triviality (Generic structures and their applications). 数理解析研究所
講究録 2004, 1390: 18-22

ISSUE DATE:

2004-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/25827>

RIGHT:

On CM-triviality

筑波大学数学系 米田郁生 (Ikuo Yoneda)

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

November 18, 2003

Abstract

Hrushovski's generic construction yields CM-trivial structures with weak elimination of imaginaries. Here, we would like to give questions on CM-triviality.

1 CM-triviality of generic structures.

In this section, we show weak elimination of imaginaries and CM-triviality of well-known stable generic structures.

Definition 1 We say that T is CM-trivial if

$$A_1 \downarrow_B A_2 \Rightarrow A_1 \downarrow_{\text{acl}^{eq}(A_1 A_2) \cap B} A_2$$

for $A_1, A_2, B \subset \mathcal{M}^{eq}$ algebraically closed sets.

Fact 2 *Let T be any theory of well-known stable generic structure. Then we have;*

1. (\mathcal{M} : big model of T) For any $A, B \subset \mathcal{M}$ algebraically closed sets,

$$A \downarrow_{A \cap B} B \Leftrightarrow AB = A \otimes_{A \cap B} B \leq \mathcal{M},$$

2. any type over algebraically closed sets in real sort is stationary.

Explanations

1. The language is $L = \{R_i(X_1 \dots X_{n_i}) : i < \omega\}$, where $R_i(X_1 \dots X_{n_i})$ is an n_i -ary predicate.
2. We assume that any predicate is closed under permutations and $R_i(a_1 \dots a_{n_i}) \Rightarrow a_i \neq a_j (i \neq j \leq n_i)$.
3. We defined predimension on finite L -structures.

$$\delta(A) = |A| - \sum_{i < \omega} \alpha_i \cdot |R_i^A|$$

, where A is a finite L -structure, R_i^A is the set of tuples of A satisfying R_i (up to permutations) and $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_i (i < \omega)$ are fixed positive real numbers .

4. For finite L -structures A, B , we say A is *closed* in B (write $A \leq B$) if

$$\delta(XA) - \delta(A) \geq 0$$

for any $X \subseteq B$. “ A is closed in B ” means that there are only suitably many (depending on α) sequences intersecting A and $B \setminus A$, and satisfying some predicates.

For possibly infinite L -structures A, N , we say that A is closed in N (write $A \leq N$) if

$$A_0 \leq A_0 X$$

for any $A_0 \subset_\omega A, X \subset_\omega N \setminus A$.

There exists the smallest closed subset $\text{cl}_N(A)$ of N containing A .

In any well-known stable generic structure, $\text{cl}_N(A) \subseteq \text{acl}_N(A)$, in particular $\text{acl}_N(A) \leq N$.

5. For L -structure A, B, C with $A \cap B \subseteq C$, we say that A and B are *freely joined* over C if there are no $i < \omega$ and $\bar{d} \in ABC$ such that $R_i(\bar{d})$, $\bar{d} \cap (A \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{d} \cap (B \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, and we write $ABC = A \otimes_C B$.

From now on, let T be as in Fact 2.

Proposition 3 *T has weak elimination of imaginaries.*

proof First we show the following claim.

Claim Let A, B, B_1, B_2 be algebraically closed. Suppose that $B_i \subseteq B$ and $A \downarrow_{B_i} B$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $A \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$.

The proof of this claim: Put $A_i = \text{acl}(AB_i)$. Then $A_i B = A_i \otimes_{B_i} B \leq \mathcal{M}$ by Fact 2, for $i = 1, 2$. Intersecting the two sets yields $(A_1 \cap A_2)B = (A_1 \cap A_2) \otimes_{B_1 \cap B_2} B \leq \mathcal{M}$. Note that $A_1 \cap A_2$ and $B_1 \cap B_2$ are algebraically closed. So by Fact 2, $A_1 \cap A_2 \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$; since $A \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$ we get $A \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$, as desired.

Now we show the weak elimination of imaginaries. Let $E(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be a definable equivalence relation over \emptyset , and consider $e = \bar{a}_E$, where \bar{a}_E is the E -class of \bar{a} . Take \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 such that $\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2$ are independent over e , and $\bar{a}_E = (\bar{b}_1)_E = (\bar{b}_2)_E$. As $e \in \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(\bar{b}_i)$ we have $\bar{a} \downarrow_{\bar{b}_i} \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Put $B = \text{acl}(b_1) \cap \text{acl}(b_2)$, where the algebraic closure is taken in the real sort. Then $\bar{a} \downarrow_B \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2$ by claim. As $\text{tp}(\bar{a}/B)$ is stationary and $e \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(\bar{a}) \cap \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(\bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2)$, we get $e \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(B)^*$. On the other hand, as $\bar{b}_1 \downarrow_e \bar{b}_2$, we have $B \subseteq \text{acl}(e)$. By compactness we can find a finite tuple $\bar{b} \in B$ with $e \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(\bar{b})$; clearly $\bar{b} \in \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(e)$, as desired.

Proposition 4 *T is CM-trivial.*

proof We use the following fundamental property.

1. If $ABC = A \otimes_C B, A \cap B \subseteq C' \subset C, A \setminus C = A \setminus C', B \setminus C = B \setminus C'$, then $ABC' = A \otimes_{C'} B$.
2. If $ABC = A \otimes_C B, B' \subset B, B'C \leq BC$, then $AB'C = A \otimes_C B' \leq ABC = A \otimes_C B$.

*If $\text{tp}(a/A)$ is stationary and $e \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(a)$, then $\text{tp}(e/A)$ is also stationary: Suppose $e \equiv_A e', e \downarrow_A B$ and $e' \downarrow_A B$. We need to show $e \equiv_B e'$. By $e \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(a)$ and compactness, there exists a definable function f such that $f(a) = e$. We may assume $a \downarrow_{Ae} B$, so we have $a \downarrow_A B$. Take a' with $ea \equiv_A e'a'$. Again we may assume $a' \downarrow_{Ae'} B$, so $a' \downarrow_A B$ follows. As $a \equiv_A a', a \equiv_B a'$ follows. On the other hand $e = f(a), e' = f(a')$, we see $e \equiv_B e'$. If $\text{tp}(a/A)$ is stationary, $a \downarrow_A B$ and $a \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(B)$, then $a \in \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$: Note that $a \in \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$. So, if $a' \equiv_A a$, then $a' \downarrow_A B$. By stationarity, we see $a \equiv_B a'$, so $a = a'$ follows.

By weak elimination of imaginaries, we may work in \mathcal{M} not in \mathcal{M}^{eq} to show the CM-triviality. Put $D = \text{acl}(A_1 A_2)$, $\tilde{A}_i = \text{acl}(A_i E)$. We need to show $A_1 \downarrow_E A_2 \Rightarrow A_1 \downarrow_{E \cap D} A_2$. By Fact 2 we see $\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{A}_2 = \tilde{A}_1 \otimes_E \tilde{A}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}$. So, by 1 $D = (\tilde{A}_1 \cap D) \otimes_{D \cap E} (\tilde{A}_2 \cap D) \leq \mathcal{M}$ (†). Put $A'_i = \text{acl}(A_i(D \cap E)) \subset \tilde{A}_i$. As $A_1 \downarrow_E A_2$, we see $A'_1 \cap A'_2 = D \cap E$. By $D \cap E \leq A'_i \leq \tilde{A}_i \cap D$, (†) and 2,

$$A'_1 A'_2 = A'_1 \otimes_{D \cap E} A'_2 \leq (\tilde{A}_1 \cap D) \otimes_{D \cap E} (\tilde{A}_2 \cap D) D \leq \mathcal{M}.$$

By Fact 2 again, we see $A_1 \downarrow_{E \cap D} A_2$.

2 Questions

We say that a theory (or structure) is strictly CM-trivial if it is CM-trivial but not one-based.

Question 1 Every strictly CM-trivial stable structure we know has weak elimination of imaginaries. On the other hand, Evans had CM-trivial SU -rank 1 structure without weak elimination of imaginaries in [E].

Does strictly CM-trivial strongly minimal set has weak elimination of imaginaries?

(It is well-known that if D is a strongly minimal sets with infinite $\text{acl}(\emptyset)$, then D has weak elimination of imaginaries.)

Question 2 *Is there strictly CM-trivial stable structure except stable generic structures?*

Question 3 One-basedness coincides with local modularity among strongly minimal sets. (This is not true among SU -rank 1 sets. See [V].)

Is there combinatorial geometric notion equivalent to CM-triviality among strongly minimal sets?

The following is a famous question.

Question 4 Evans showed supersimple \aleph_0 -categorical CM-trivial theory must have finite SU -rank in [EW].

Is there supersimple \aleph_0 -categorical theory with infinite SU -rank? Is there \aleph_0 -categorical simple non-CM-trivial theory?

References.

- [E] D. E. Evans, \aleph_0 -categorical structures with a predimension, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 116 (2002), 157-186.
- [EW] D. Evans and F. Wagner, Supersimple ω -categorical groups and theories, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 65 (2000), 767-776.
- [V] E. Vassiliev, Generic pairs of SU-rank 1 structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 120 (2003), 103-149
- [VY] V. Verbovskiy and I. Yoneda, CM-triviality and relational structures, accepted by *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* in February 2003.